

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**ROBERT J. KUEKER, Inmate #A66011,)
Petitioner,)
vs.) CIVIL NO. 05-370-DRH
DARRELL G. WILLIAMSON, *et. al.*,)
Respondent.)**

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

HERNDON, District Judge:

Petitioner initiated this action by filing a hand-written document entitled “Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.” The petition is not prepared on the forms required in this district. Consequently, plaintiff’s instant petition shall be stricken for non-compliance with Local Rule 8.1. Should plaintiff wish to refile his petition within the allotted time, it must be on this Court’s required forms.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition is **STRICKEN** for non-compliance with Local Rule 8.1. Petitioner is **GRANTED** leave to refile his petition on the appropriate forms in compliance with this order within **THIRTY (30) DAYS** of entry of this order. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to provide Petitioner with a sufficient number of the appropriate forms.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any new petition filed by Petitioner that is not in strict compliance with this order shall be **STRICKEN**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of the thirty-day period, should Petitioner fail to refile his petition, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an order of this

Court. Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 2, 2005

/s/ David RHerndon
DISTRICT JUDGE